

Lepton flavor violation at future (lepton) colliders: induced by neutral and doubly-charged scalars

Yongchao Zhang Washington University in St. Louis

January 11, 2019 Mini-Workshop: Theory - Physics Opportunities and Advanced Tools IAS, HKUST

based on

P. S. B. Dev, R. N. Mohapatra & YCZ, PRL120(2018)221804 [1711.08430]
P. S. B. Dev, R. N. Mohapatra & YCZ, PRD98(2018)075028 [1803.11167]
P. S. B. Dev & YCZ, JHEP1810(2018)199 [1808.00943]
(see also P. S. B. Dev, M. J Ramsey-Musolf & YCZ, PRD98(2018)055013 [1806.08499])
see also CEPC CDR [1811.10545] & CLIC Yellow Book [1812.02093]

Outline

- Motivations of the LFV processes
- Beyond SM neutral scalar H at future lepton colliders
 - On-shell production
 - Off-shell production
 - Prospects at ILC and CEPC (CLIC in backup slides)
- Doubly-charged scalar $H^{\pm\pm}$ at future lepton colliders
 - On-shell production through the (LFV) Yukawa couplings
 - Off-shell production
 - Prospects at ILC and CEPC (CLIC in backup slides)
- Displaced LFV signals at future colliders
 - Long-lived $H_L^{\pm\pm}$ in type-II seesaw
 - DV prospects at HL-LHC, FCC-hh & ILC
 - DV from $H_R^{\pm\pm}$ in left-right models
- Conclusion

Why lepton-flavor violation (LFV) at future lepton colliders?

- Neutrino oscillations ⇒ lepton flavor violation why not in the charged lepton sector???
- "Smoking-gun" signal beyond the SM;
- Clean SM background at lepton colliders, compared to the hadron colliders.

...Connection to neutrino mass generation (and other pheno)

- Beyond SM neutral scalar *H* from e.g. left-right model, sneutrino in RPV SUSY models;
- Doubly-charged scalar H^{±±} in type-II seesaw and its extensions like left-right model;
- Might also be connected to the heavy neutrino searches, effective 4-fermion interactions, or even DM pheno at future lepton colliders.

(See the talks by R. Franceschini, J. Zupan, M. Ramsey-Musolf, O. Fischer, M. Mitra) Beyond SM neutral scalar *H* @ future lepton colliders

Well-motivated underlying models

• RPV SUSY: sneutrinos $(\tilde{\nu})$

[Aulakh, Mohapatra '82; Hall,Suzuki '84; Ross, Valle '85, Barbier+ '04; Duggan, Evans, Hirschauer '13]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{RPV}} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \widehat{L}_{\alpha} \widehat{L}_{\beta} \widehat{E}_{\gamma}^{c}$$

• Left-right symmetric models: the SU(2)_R-breaking scalar H₃ [Dev, Mohapatra, YCZ '16; '16; '17; Maiezza, Senjanović, Vasquez '16]

 LFV couplings are generated at tree and loop level
 2HDM: CP-even or odd (heavy) scalars from the 2nd doublet [Branco+ '11; Crivellin, Heeck, Stoffer '15]

LFV couplings are induced from small deviation from the lepton-specific structure.

• Mirror models: singlet scalar connecting the SM leptons to heavy mirror leptons [Hung '06, '07; Bu, Liao, Liu '08; Chang, Chang, Nugroho+ '16; Hung, Le, Tran+ '17]

LFV couplings arise from the SM-heavy lepton mixing

Effective LFV couplings

• Model-independent effective LFV couplings of H

 $\mathcal{L}_{Y} = h_{\alpha\beta} \overline{\ell}_{\alpha, L} H \ell_{\beta, R} + \text{H.c.}.$

For simplicity, we assume $h_{\alpha\beta}$ are real, symmetric, H is CP-even, hadrophobic and the mixing with the SM Higgs h is small.

H might originate from a isospin singlet, doublet or triplet, depending on specific underlying models.

• Effective Dim-4 couplings \neq Effective 4-fermion couplings like $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}(\bar{e}e)(\bar{e}\mu)$

[Kabachenko, Pirogov '97; Ferreira, Guedes, Santos '06; Aranda, Flores-Tlalpa, Ramirez-Zavaleta+ '09; Murakami, Tait '14; Cho, Shimo '14]

 $m_H < \sqrt{s} \Rightarrow$ on-shell production

On-shell production of H at lepton colliders

• the e^+e^- process



involving the charged-currents [H decaying into visible particles]

 $e^+e^-
ightarrow
u_lpha ar{
u}_e + H$



Laser photon in future lepton colliders

- In future lepton colliders, high luminosity photon beams can be obtained by Compton backscattering of low energy, high intensity laser beam off the high energy electron beam [Ginzburg et al '83, '84].
- The effective photon luminosity distribution $(x = \omega/E_e \lesssim 0.83$ the fraction of electron energy carried away by the scattered photon, $\xi = 4\omega_0 E_e/m_e^2$)

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_{\gamma/e}(x) & = & \frac{1}{D(\xi)} \left[(1-x) + \frac{1}{(1-x)} - \frac{4x}{\xi(1-x)} + \frac{4x^2}{\xi^2(1-x)^2} \right] \,, \\ \mathrm{with} & D(\xi) & = & \left(1 - \frac{4}{\xi} - \frac{8}{\xi^2} \right) \log(1+\xi) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{8}{\xi} - \frac{1}{2(1+\xi)^2} \,, \end{array}$$



On-shell production of H at lepton colliders

• involving the laser photon(s)



Constraints on the LFV couplings: on-shell

On-shell production amplitudes depend *linearly* on the LFV couplings

• muonium anti-muonium oscillation: $(\bar{\mu}e) \leftrightarrow (\mu\bar{e}) (h_{e\mu})$ [Clark, Love '03]



- Electron and muon $g 2(h_{e\ell}, h_{\mu\ell})$ [Lindner, Platscher, Queiroz '16]
- Bhabha scattering, LEP ee → ℓℓ data (h_{eℓ})
 [OPAL '03; L3 '03; DELPHI '05]



Prospects of H: on-shell production



 $\gamma\gamma$ ($e\gamma$) channel: laser photon collision.

Green bands: muon g - 2 anomaly (excluded).

Assuming the dominant decay mode $H \rightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$.

Prospects of H: on-shell production



 $\gamma\gamma$ ($e\gamma$) channel: laser photon collision.

Assuming the dominant decay mode $H \rightarrow e^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}$.

Prospects of H: on-shell production



- $\gamma\gamma$ ($e\gamma$) channel: laser photon collision.
- Assuming the dominant decay mode $H \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}$.
- ► The muon g 2 discrepancy can be directly tested at CEPC & ILC via the searches e^+e^- , $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\tau + H$.

Off-shell production of H at lepton colliders

• Off-shell production (at resonance when $m_H\simeq \sqrt{s}$)

might also be mediated by a (light) gauge boson Z' with LFV couplings [Heeck '16]



Constraints on the LFV couplings: off-shell

Off-shell production amplitudes depend *quadratically* on the LFV couplings

process	current data	constraints $[GeV^{-2}]$
$\mu^- ightarrow e^- e^+ e^-$	$< 10^{-12}$	$ h_{ee}^{\dagger}h_{e\mu} /m_{H}^{2} < 6.6 imes 10^{-11}$
$ au^- ightarrow e^- e^+ e^-$	$< 2.7 imes 10^{-8}$	$ h_{ee}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2}<2.6 imes 10^{-8}$
$ au^- ightarrow \mu^- {\it e}^+ {\it e}^-$	$< 1.8 imes 10^{-8}$	$ h_{ee}^{\dagger}h_{\mu au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.5 imes 10^{-8}$
$ au^- ightarrow \mu^+ e^- e^-$	$< 1.5 imes 10^{-8}$	$ h_{eu}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.9 imes 10^{-8}$
$\tau^- ightarrow e^- \gamma$	$< 3.3 imes 10^{-8}$	$ h_{ee}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.0 imes 10^{-6}$
$\tau^- ightarrow \mu^- \gamma$	< 4.4 $ imes$ 10 ⁻⁸	$ h_{e\mu}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.2 imes 10^{-6}$
$(g-2)_e$	$< 5.0 imes 10^{-13}$	$ h_{ee}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.1 imes 10^{-7}$
		$ h_{e\mu}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.0 imes 10^{-8}$
$ee \rightarrow ee, au au$	$\Lambda > 5.7 \& 6.3 \text{ TeV}$	$ h_{ee}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.4 imes 10^{-7}$
$ee ightarrow \mu \mu, au au$	$\Lambda > 5.7 \& 7.9 \text{ TeV}$	$ h_{e\mu}^{\dagger}h_{e au} /m_{H}^{2} < 1.3 imes 10^{-7}$

The $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ limit is so strong that the it leaves no hope to see any signal in the $ee \rightarrow e\mu$ channel at future lepton colliders.

Prospects of H: off-shell production

$$e^+e^-
ightarrow e^\pm au^\mp$$



- ► Resonance effect at $m_H \simeq \sqrt{s}$ with width $\Gamma_H = 10(30)$ GeV at CEPC (ILC).
- The off-shell scalar could be probed well beyond 10 TeV scale for couplings h_{αβ} of order one.

Prospects of H: off-shell production



Doubly-charged scalar $H^{\pm\pm}$ @ future lepton colliders

$H^{\pm\pm}$ at lepton (and hadron) colliders

- The (left- and right-handed) $H^{\pm\pm}$ can be pair produced from the gauge interactions to the γ/Z bosons.
- The Drell-Yan production channels can not be used to measure *directly* the (LFV) Yukawa couplings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ of $H^{\pm\pm}$ to charged leptons, unless $H^{\pm\pm}$ is long-lived.
- The current LHC same-sign dilepton limits depend largely on the branching fractions $BR(H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}_{\alpha} \ell^{\pm}_{\beta})$.



On-shell Production of $H^{\pm\pm}$ at lepton colliders through the (LFV) Yukawa couplings $f_{\alpha\beta}$

Model-independent effective couplings of (right-handed) $H^{\pm\pm}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Y}} = f_{\alpha\beta}H^{++}\overline{\ell_{\alpha}^{C}}\ell_{\beta} + \text{H.c.}$$

 Pair production through the gauge and Yukawa couplings [Chakrabarti+, hep-ph/9804297]



The Drell-Yan processes dominate the pair production if the Yukawa couplings $f_{e\ell}$ are very small.

On/off-shell production of $H^{\pm\pm}$ at lepton colliders

• Single production through the Yukawa couplings

[Kuze & Sirois, hep-ex/0211048; Barenboim, Huitu, Maalampi & Raidal, hep-ph/9611362; Lusignoli & Petrarca, PLB**226**, 397; Yue & Zhao, hep-ph/0701017; Godfrey, Kalyniak, Romanenko, hep-ph/0108258; hep-ph/0207240; Rizzo, PRD**25**, 1355; Yue, Zhao & Ma, 0706.0232]



• Off-shell production

[Godfrey, Kalyniak, Romanenko, hep-ph/0108258; hep-ph/0207240; Rizzo, PRD25, 1355



Prospects of $H^{\pm\pm}$ @ ILC 1TeV: single production



- Assuming the dominant decay mode $H^{\pm\pm}
 ightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm}$.
- Below √s/2 ≃ 500 GeV, the process e⁺e⁻ → H^{±±}ℓ[∓]_αℓ[∓]_β is dominated by the Drell-Yan pair production e⁺e⁻ → H⁺⁺H^{-−} with the subsequent decay H^{∓∓} → ℓ[∓]_αℓ[∓]_β.
- ► The electron and muon g 2 limits are highly suppressed by the charge lepton masses and are not shown in the plot.

CLIC could probe higher mass ranges.

Prospects of $H^{\pm\pm}$ @ ILC 1TeV: single production



Assuming the dominant decay mode H^{±±} → e[±]τ[±] (left), ℓ[±]_αℓ[±]_β (right).
 Below √s/2 ≃ 500 GeV, the process e⁺e⁻ → H^{±±}ℓ[∓]_αℓ[∓]_β is dominated by the Drell-Yan pair production e⁺e⁻ → H⁺⁺H^{-−} with the subsequent decay H^{∓∓} → ℓ[±]_αℓ[∓]_β.

► The electron and muon g - 2 limits are highly suppressed by the charge lepton masses and are not shown in the plots.

CLIC could probe higher mass ranges.

Prospects of $H^{\pm\pm}$ @ CEPC & ILC: off-shell production



- Suppressed by the three-body phase space, the sensitivities in the $e\gamma$ processes are comparatively much weaker.
- As in the neutral scalar case, the limit from µ → eee are so stringent that it has precluded the H^{±±}-mediated signal ee → eµ at CEPC & ILC.
- The effective cutoff scale A ≃ M_{±±}/|f| can be probed at CEPC & ILC 1TeV up to few 10 TeV (even higher at CLIC).
- The sensitivities for more flavor combinations α, β, γ in e[±]γ → ℓ[∓]_αℓ[±]_βℓ[±]_γ can be found in our paper 1803.11167.

the LFV signals might be displaced...

One example: $H_L^{\pm\pm}$ in type-II seesaw (and its right-handed partner $H_R^{\pm\pm}$ in left-right models)

Konetschny & Kummer '77; Magg & Wetterich '80; Schechter & Valle '80; Cheng & Li '80; Mohapatra & Senjanovic '81; Lazarides, Shafi & Wetterich '81

• One of the simplest seesaw frameworks to generate the tiny neutrino masses

$$\mathcal{L} = -(f_L)_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{L\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} C i \sigma_2 \Delta_L \psi_{L\beta} + \mu H^{\mathsf{T}} i \sigma_2 \Delta_L^{\dagger} H + \text{H.c.},$$

$$\Delta_L = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_L^+ / \sqrt{2} & \delta_L^{++} = H_L^{++} \\ \delta_L^0 & -\delta_L^+ / \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Neutrino masses are given by

 $m_{\nu} = \sqrt{2} f_L v_L = U \widehat{m}_{\nu} U^{\mathsf{T}}$ (with the VEV $\langle \delta_L^0 \rangle = v_L / \sqrt{2}$)

 The coupling matrix f_L is fixed by neutrino oscillation data, up to the unknown lightest neutrino mass m₀, the neutrino mass hierarchy, and the Dirac & Majorana CP violating phases. ⇒ VERY PREDICTIVE

Long-lived $H_L^{\pm\pm}$

• Decay through the Yukawa couplings (suppressed by m_{ν}^2/v_L^2)

$$\Gamma(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell_\alpha^\pm \ell_\beta^\pm) \;=\; \frac{M_{H_L^{\pm\pm}}}{8\pi (1+\delta_{\alpha\beta})} \frac{\left|(m_\nu)_{\alpha\beta}\right|^2}{v_L^2}\,,$$

 Decay through the gauge interactions (suppressed by v²_L and potentially the phase-space)

$$\Gamma(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to W^{\pm}W^{\pm}) = \frac{G_F^2 v_L^2 M_{H_L^{\pm\pm}}^3}{2\pi} \sqrt{1 - 4x_W} (1 - 4x_W + 12x_W^2),$$

(with $x_W \equiv m_W^2 / M_{H_L^{\pm\pm}}^2$)

Four-body decay for off-shell W-boson pairs

$$H_L^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm\,*}W^{\pm\,*} \rightarrow f\bar{f}'f''\bar{f}'''$$

Neglecting the cascade decays H^{±±} → H^{±(*)}W^{±(*)}, H^{±(*)}H^{±(*)} (small scalar mass splitting)

Proper lifetime of $H_L^{\pm\pm}$



$$\Gamma_{\text{total}}(H_L^{\pm\pm}) \;=\; \Gamma(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell_\alpha \ell_\beta) + \Gamma(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to W^{\pm\,(*)}W^{\pm\,(*)}) \,.$$

Assuming lightest neutrino mass $m_0 = 0$.

$$v_L |f_L|_{\max} \simeq \begin{cases} 0.027 \, {\rm eV} \, , & {
m for NH with } m_1 = 0 \, , \\ 0.048 \, {\rm eV} \, , & {
m for IH with } m_3 = 0 \, . \end{cases}$$

Sensitivities of displaced vertices

Dev & YCZ, 1808.00943



• Assuming at least 100 events for the DV sensitivities of $H_L^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm}e^{\pm}, e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}, \mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}.$

• The low-energy high-precision LFV measurements (such as $\mu \rightarrow eee$ and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$), the prompt same-sign dilepton searches of $H_L^{\pm\pm}$ and the DV searches of $H_L^{\pm\pm}$ are largely complementary to each other in the type-II seesaw.

$H_R^{\pm\pm}$ in left-right symmetric model

Dev, Ramsey-Musolf & YCZ, 1806.08499

Dev & YCZ, 1808.00943



- Considering the simple scenario $H_R^{\pm\pm}
 ightarrow e^\pm e^\pm, \; W_R^{\pm*} W_R^{\pm*}.$
- We do not have the LFV constraints e.g. $\mu
 ightarrow e \gamma$, and MOLLER pops out...
- The low-energy high-precision LFV measurements (MOLLER and $0\nu\beta\beta$), the prompt same-sign dilepton searches of $H_R^{\pm\pm}$ and the DV searches of $H_R^{\pm\pm}$ are largely complementary to each other in the LRSM.

Conclusion

- A large variety of well-motivated models accommodate a beyond SM neutral scalar H and/or doubly-charged scalar $H^{\pm\pm}$, with LFV couplings to the SM charged leptons.
- These LFV couplings can be studied in a *model-independent* way at future lepton colliders, which strengthens the physics case for future lepton colliders.
- The neutral scalar *H* can be produced on-shell via $e^{\pm}\gamma \rightarrow \ell^{\pm} + H$ and $e^{+}e^{-}, \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}_{\alpha}\ell^{\mp}_{\beta} + H$ or off-shell via $e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}_{\alpha}\ell^{\mp}_{\beta}$.
- The doubly-charged scalar $H^{\pm\pm}$ can be (doubly & singly) on-shell and off-shell produced from the (LFV) Yukawa couplings to the charged leptons.
- It is promising that future lepton colliders could probe a broad region of mass and coupling parameters for both H and $H^{\pm\pm}$, which go well beyond the existing low-energy LFV constraints like $\tau \rightarrow eee$.
- The neutral scalar explanation of the muon g 2 anomaly can be directly tested at future lepton colliders in the e^+e^- , $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^{\pm}\tau^{\mp} + H$ processes.
- It might also be possible that the LFV signals are displaced, like $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ in type-II seesaw and left-right models.

Thank you for your attention!

backup slides

On-shell production amplitudes depend *linearly* on the LFV couplings

• muonium anti-muonium oscillation: $(\bar{\mu}e) \leftrightarrow (\mu\bar{e}) (h_{e\mu})$



Oscillation probablity [Clark, Love '03]

$$\mathcal{P} = rac{2(\Delta M)^2}{\Gamma_{\mu}^2 + 4(\Delta M)^2}$$

with the *H*-induced mass splitting

$$\Delta M = \frac{2\alpha_{\rm EM}^3 h_{e\mu}^2 \mu^3}{\pi m_H^2}, \quad \mu = \frac{m_e m_\mu}{m_e + m_\mu}$$

• Electron and muon $g - 2 (h_{e\ell}, h_{\mu\ell})$

[Lindner, Platscher, Queiroz '16]



The value of $h_{e\mu}$ to explain $(g-2)_{\mu}$ discrepancy is excluded by the $(g-2)_e$ constraint.

$$\Delta a_\mu \equiv \Delta a_\mu^{
m exp} - \Delta a_\mu^{
m th} = (2.87\pm0.80) imes10^{-9}$$

• Bhabha scattering, LEP $ee \rightarrow \ell\ell$ data $(h_{e\ell})$





Effective 4-fermion interaction

$$\frac{h_{e\ell}^2}{m_H^2}(\bar{e}\ell)(\bar{e}\ell) \xrightarrow{\text{Fierz transf.}} \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^\mu \ell)$$

If $m_H \lesssim \sqrt{s}$, the LEP limits on the cut-off scale Λ do not apply, and we have to consider the kinetic dependence

$$rac{1}{m_H^2}
ightarrow rac{1}{q^2-m_H^2} \simeq rac{1}{-s\cos heta/2-m_H^2}$$

Off-shell production amplitudes depend quadratically on the LFV couplings

• 3-body LFV decays of muon and tauon, e.g. [Sher, Yuan '91]

$$\Gamma(\tau^- o e^+ e^- e^-) \simeq rac{1}{\delta} rac{|h_{ee}^{\dagger} h_{e\tau}|^2 m_{\tau}^5}{3072 \pi^3 m_H^4}, \quad (\delta=2)$$

• 2-body LFV decays of muon and tauon, e.g. [Harnik, Kopp, Zupan '12]

$$\Gamma(au o e\gamma) = rac{lpha_{
m EM} m_{ au}^5}{64\pi^4} \left(|c_L|^2 + |c_R|^2
ight) \,, \quad c_L = c_R \simeq rac{h_{ee}^{\dagger} h_{e au}}{24m_H^2} \,.$$

• $h_{ee, e\mu, e\tau}$ contribute to $(g - 2)_e$ & LEP $ee \rightarrow \ell \ell$ data, [DELPHI '05; Hou, Wong '95]

$$egin{array}{ccc} |h^{\dagger}_{ee}h_{e au}| &\Rightarrow & ee
ightarrow e au \ |h^{\dagger}_{e\mu}h_{e au}| &\Rightarrow & ee
ightarrow \mu au \ (t ext{-channel}) \end{array}$$

SM backgrounds for on-shell production of H

Main SM backgronds are particle misidentification for

$$e^+e^- o \ell^+_{\alpha}\ell^-_{\beta} + X, \quad (\alpha \neq \beta)$$

The mis-identification rate is expected to be small, of order 10^{-3} [Milstene, Fisk, Para '06; Hammad, Khalil, Un '16; Yu, Ruan, Boudry+ '17]

Examle:



$$e^+e^-
ightarrow Zh
ightarrow (e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-)h \rightsquigarrow e^\pm\mu^\mp + h$$

SM backgrounds for off-shell production of H

Main SM backgrounds:

$$e^+e^-
ightarrow W^+W^-
ightarrow \ell^+_lpha \ell^-_eta
u ar
u$$

The backgrounds can be well controlled by

[Kabachenko, Pirogov '97; Cho, Shimo '16; Bian, Shu, YCZ '15]

requiring that the constructed energy $E_\ell \simeq \sqrt{s}/2$,

kinetic distribution analysis of the backgrounds and signals

CLIC prospects of H and $H^{\pm\pm}$

CLIC prospects of *H*: on-shell production



 $\gamma\gamma$ ($e\gamma$) channel: laser photon collision.

Shaded regions are excluded.

Assuming the dominant decay mode $H \rightarrow e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ (left), $e^{\pm}\tau^{\mp}$ (right).

CLIC prospects of *H*: on-shell production



 $\gamma\gamma$ ($e\gamma$) channel: laser photon collision.

Shaded regions are excluded.

Assuming the dominant decay mode $H \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \tau^{\mp}$.

Dotted brown line: central values of muon g - 2 anomaly, green and yellow bands: the 1σ and 2σ regions.

The muon g - 2 discrepancy can be directly tested at CLIC via the searches of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\tau + H$.

CLIC prospects of H: off-shell production

$$e^+e^-
ightarrow e^\pm au^\mp$$



Resonance effect at $m_H \simeq \sqrt{s}$ with width $\Gamma_H = 30$ GeV

The off-shell scalar could be probed well beyond 10 TeV scale (or even up to 100 TeV).

CLIC prospects of H: off-shell production



CLIC prospects of $H^{\pm\pm}$: single production



Assuming the dominant decay mode $H^{\pm\pm}
ightarrow e^{\pm} \mu^{\pm}.$

Below $\sqrt{s}/2 = 1.5$ TeV, the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^{\pm\pm}\ell_{\alpha}^{\mp}\ell_{\beta}^{\mp}$ is dominated by the Drell-Yan pair production $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--}$ with the subsequent decay $H^{\mp\mp} \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha}^{\mp}\ell_{\beta}^{\mp}$.

The $\gamma\gamma \to H^{\pm\pm} \ell_{\alpha}^{\mp} \ell_{\beta}^{\mp}$ sensitivity is weaker than the e^+e^- process.

The electron and muon g - 2 limits are highly suppressed by the charge lepton masses and are not shown in the plot.

CLIC prospects of $H^{\pm\pm}$: single production



Assuming the dominant decay mode ${\cal H}^{\pm\pm} o e^\pm au^\pm$ (left), $\mu^\pm au^\pm$ (right).

Below $\sqrt{s}/2 = 1.5$ TeV, the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^{\pm\pm}\ell^{\mp}_{\alpha}\ell^{\mp}_{\beta}$ is dominated by the Drell-Yan pair production $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--}$ with the subsequent decay $H^{\mp\mp} \rightarrow \ell^{\mp}_{\alpha}\ell^{\mp}_{\beta}$.

The $\gamma\gamma \to H^{\pm\pm}\ell^{\mp}_{\alpha}\ell^{\mp}_{\beta}$ sensitivity is weaker than the e^+e^- process.

The electron and muon g - 2 limits are highly suppressed by the charge lepton masses and are not shown in the plots.

CLIC prospects of $H^{\pm\pm}$: off-shell production



Suppressed by the three-body phase space, the sensitivities in the $e\gamma$ processes are comparatively much weaker.

As in the neutral scalar case, the limit from $\mu \rightarrow eee$ are so stringent that it has precluded the $H^{\pm\pm}$ -mediated signal $ee \rightarrow e\mu$ at CLIC.

The effective cutoff scale $\Lambda \simeq M_{\pm\pm}/|f|$ can be probed at CLIC up to few 10 TeV.